



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,598	12/29/1999	RAYMOND C. EDMONDS	042390.P7353	. 1187
7:	590 12/17/2003		EXAM	INER
JOHN F TRAVIS			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
	KOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA	AFMAN LLP		
12400 WIKLSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	2674
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/473,598	RAYMOND C. EDMONDS					
به رواند در از	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriat e fee. The appropriate ex	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed,	may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11/26/03</u> . Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (e period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•••					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,8-13,15-22,24,26 and 27.</u>	•	Λ					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4, 6-7, 14 a	and 23.	///	1				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		the Examiner.	/				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	• • • • • • • •	# 1.111					
10. ☐ Other:	(o)(1 10 1440) 1 upor 110(o). <u>-</u>						
		MUNICO FILLE FAVISOAV IIII JA IGILOOLOOTE (2 1 1 1					





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the last office action. Specifically, Salesky et al. (USPN 6343311) teach a conferencing system, and Ohshima (USPN 5977945) teaches a partial rewritten library (32) functioning in respone to the partially rewritten line determination means (16). The examiner maintains the combination of two references shows that it would be obvious to cover all portions of data that are being sent.